













































For the sake of utility, the mapping has sought to provide each cohesive project with a sector, purpose, actor name and actor type. These are, however, the result of imperfect judgement calls as often projects will have multiple entries in the data, with different sectors, channels (implementing partners) and purposes reported across and within years. For example, in an intervention where 15 entries have been coded as 'agriculture' and 5 have been coded as 'business policy', the mapping has opted to label the project 'agriculture'. While this provides practical utility for readers, it also entails that the mapping is indicative rather than factual. For this reason, we encourage readers to consider the OECD CRS, which is quality assured and approved by Swedish authorities, in addition to the mapping. In line with this, in the portfolio analysis above, the Purpose and Sector figures presented are based on the underpinning OECD CRS Data, rather than the mapping data that has been constructed.

Lastly, regarding the calculation of project values: the value of projects has been calculated based on the value of reported disbursements during the period, which may be different from the total value of disbursements made by Swedish government authorities. It is possible that some disbursements may not have been reported in the period, and certain that for many projects some disbursements will have occurred outside the examined period.